

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/177,711 | 10/23/1998 | MICHAEL A. ADAMS | 10692v-000210US | 3493 | |
| 20350 75 | 90 10/21/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP | | | WANG, SHENGJUN | | |
| TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 | | | 1617 | >~ | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003 | > 7 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | | ADAMS ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/177,711 Examiner | | | | | | |
| , | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Shengjun Wang | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u> | <u>lugust 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-62,64-72 and 74-111</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-62,64-72 and 74-111</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/177,711

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted August 4, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C - 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 60-62, 64-72 and 74-111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kock et al. (US Patent 5,849,803) in view of Akkus et al. (Medline Abstract, AN 95174112) and Cesar et al. (WO 94/04120) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted August 4, 2003 have been fully considered. The amendments and remarks are sufficient to over come the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 and 102, but are not persuasive for the rejections set forth above.

2. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to modify the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Art Unit: 1617

In this case, the teaching and suggestion are found both in the references and in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Particularly, references teach the employment of combination of PGE1 and nitroglycerine, a well known NO producing agent, for treating erection dysfunction in a particular administering method. Both PGE1 and NO producing agents are well-known in the art for their usefulness in treating erectile dysfunction. It would have been obvious to employ the combination for treating erectile dysfunction with optimal dosage. As stated in the prior office action, optimization of a result effective parameter, e.g., effective amount of a known therapeutical agent in a known composition, is considered within the skill of the artisan. See, In re Boesch and Slaney (CCPA) 204 USPQ 215.

Allowable Subject Matters

Based on the unexpected results disclosed in the specification (pages 15-18 and the figures), following subject matter would be favorably considered.

A method of decreasing pain associated with the use of prostaglandins for treating erectile tissue dysfunction comprising administering to a human in need thereof an effective amount of prostaglandin and at least one NO producing agent at a low dose, which decrease pain associated with prostaglandin use, wherein said low dose of said at least one NO producing agent is a unit dose about $0.88~\mu$ mole or less, and wherein the administration is therapeutically synergistic in the treatment of erectile tissue dysfunction, and is not an intraurethral administration.

Note evidence supporting the unexpected result, must clear and convincing, and must be commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. Supports for "synergistic effect" are found in the figures, page 7, lines 12-25, and pages 15-18.

Application/Control Number: 09/177,711

Art Unit: 1617

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Patent Examiner

Shengjun Wang October 17, 2003